Discover the Essence of Software Engineering

Object-oriented methodology is a well established technique in modern software development paradigm. However, looking back, with software engineering evolutions, we face some fundamental problems and concerns regarding development methods and process. A Global initiative Semat–Software Engineering Method and Theory tries to address the problems we face as a community. Semat is a community effort that attempts to discover the essence of software engineering.

The problems we face as a software engineering community

More than four decades ago, a meeting held in Garmisch in Germany gathered software leaders of that time to define the term “software engineering” and to lay out its future. Despite the previous effort in formalizing software development, it was not until the Garmisch meeting that the problems of software development were formally discussed.

Over the last forty years, software has ubiquitously infiltrated into every aspects of our society and people’s life. The software industry, which is perhaps the largest sector in the world, has become extremely successful. However, software engineering as a discipline is still challenged by similar problems as it was at its beginning.

Object-oriented methodology is a very important and very influential software development paradigm. Thus, no doubt it has a place in our history.

In this article, however, I would like to take one step back, and look at software engineering as a whole - from its inception about fifty years ago until nowadays - to discuss how we as a community are doing, what the fundamental problems are that we are facing, what the shared essentials of the different development methods are, and how we could discover and capture these essentials to make software engineering a discipline that is built on solid theory, proven principles and best practices. These questions and others eventually led to the formation of the global initiative Semat – Software Engineering Method and Theory.

1. The problems we face as a software engineering community

More than four decades ago, a
Every adoption of a new method makes the old one obsolete. It is like throwing out the baby with bathing water. This is not the smart way of doing our work.

Unified Process, CMMI, XP, Scrum, and now Lean and Kanban, just to name a few.

There are no doubts, that as our discipline moves forward, more and more new methodologies continue to appear. The software industry to some extent is like the fashion industry in the way that we keep chasing fads or silver bullets, but keep ignoring the basic, fundamental things in our discipline. Over these years we have developed hundreds of thousands of methods, since basically every product development organization creates its own way of working. This is no surprise and this is the way it should be. The abundant number in itself is not the problem, but the fact that it is virtually impossible to compare the way different teams work (even within a single organization) is a serious problem. The knowledge gained from these methods is not preserved from system to system, from generation to generation. It is neither transferrable nor reusable among systems, among practitioners, and among organizations. Every adoption of a new method makes the old one obsolete. It is like throwing out the baby with bathing water. This is not the smart way of doing our work.

Furthermore, academia is in a constant catching up mode of chasing what is new. There is not a consistent and fundamental teaching platform across different instructors and different universities. Research topics and education curricula at universities are generally so remote from what the industry wants and needs. As a consequence, the research results mainly stay as research lab “orphan”, are difficult to be adopted by industry; students who graduate from universities have a hard time to adapt themselves to the challenging industrial environment.

2. Semat – discovering the essence of software engineering

Against the backdrop of the problems we face today, the Semat community, [www.semat.org](http://www.semat.org), was founded in 2009 by Bertrand Meyer, Richard Soley and I. Ahead of the very first Semat meeting held in March 2010 in Zurich, late Watts Humphrey suggested: “This (SEMAT) meeting in Zurich (2010) is likely to be an historic occasion much like the 1968 NATO session in Garmish.”

Semat addresses the many challenges we face today in the software engineering field. In essence, the major challenge is to understand how to build great software, and why we need a theory for software engineering [1][2][3]. We suggested that we needed to refound software engineering based on a solid theory, proven principles and best practices that: Include “a kernel of widely-agreed elements, extensible for specific uses”. To be effective the kernel must be kept concrete, focused and small [4].

Semat’s primary goal “is to create a kernel and a language that are scalable, extensible, and easy to use, and that allow people to describe the essentials of their existing and future methods and practices so that they can be composed, compared, evaluated, tailored, used, adapted, simulated and measured by practitioners as well as taught and researched by academics and researchers [5]”.

Semat addresses the “human” side of software development as well as the technical side because after all, it is people who develop software, not methods and tools.

Some Semat key concepts include:

1. Every method is just a composition of practices, either human- or technology-related.
2. Practices are reusable over many application areas and technologies.
3. Underneath all practices is a small kernel of universals, things that we always have, do or produce when building software.
4. Practices and universals are described by a lightweight and intuitive language.

The kernel we are looking for is the common ground or the essence of software engineering. With such a common ground, including some 10-20 key elements, we will have a light but powerful vocabulary to describe any method. This will make it easier to compare and evaluate methods.

For more detailed information, please go to www.semat.org and read our publications to have a better understanding.

We have high expectations that Semat will change the software engineering arena. The basis for the change is the understanding that underneath all methods is a kernel.

3. Semat is a community effort

Semat results are a collective effort from the community for the community. Recently, in order to provide the necessary governance of the work on developing the kernel, the responsibility for this work has...
Semat addresses the “human” side of software development as well as the technical side because after all, it is people who develop software, not methods and tools.

RFP was presented in an OMG meeting in March 2011, Washington D.C. The next time when an updated RFP proposal will be presented will be in June 2011 in Salt Lake City.

A group of about 20 people within Semat has been working on a candidate kernel since March 2010. This group includes members coming from around the world representing practitioners, executives, professors and researchers. Thus far, there are four universals being proposed – team, work, software system, and requirements. There will be more developed as the work goes on.

Moving forward we need competent people to actively participate in the different task groups. We need people with the following expertise: user experience design to give the language a graphical, intuitive syntax; formal language designers to make sure the concrete syntax is mapped to meaningful semantics; identifying and defining kernel elements (modeling expertise); metrics and measurement experts to help measure the impact of Semat on the external world and to help measure each of its practices; open source tool support for language and kernel; requirement specification of what Semat should do, and more.

Semat needs a broader community involvement to make its results more relevant to practitioners, academic and industry. Please go to Semat blog site: http://sematblog.wordpress.com/, to give comments and feedback. Your comments and feedback are crucial to keep Semat in the right direction.

Welcome to become part of the Semat community.
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